



MALAWI

FLOODING, DRY SPELLS AND COVID-19 IMPACT FOOD SECURITY SITUATION FOR URBAN AND RURAL HOUSEHOLDS

IPC ACUTE FOOD INSECURITY ANALYSIS JULY 2020 – MARCH 2020

Issued September 2020

CURRENT JULY - SEPTEMBER 2020		
1.69M 10% of the population analysed People facing high acute food insecurity (IPC Phase 3 and above) IN NEED OF URGENT ACTION	Phase 5	0 People in Catastrophe
	Phase 4	0 People in Emergency
	Phase 3	1,697,000 People in Crisis
	Phase 2	5,525,000 People Stressed
	Phase 1	10,457,000 People in food security

PROJECTED OCTOBER 2020 - MARCH 2021		
2.62M 15% of the population analysed People facing high acute food insecurity (IPC Phase 3 and above) IN NEED OF URGENT ACTION	Phase 5	0 People in Catastrophe
	Phase 4	0 People in Emergency
	Phase 3	2,618,000 People in Crisis
	Phase 2	6,219,000 People Stressed
	Phase 1	8,841,000 People in food security

Overview

In the current period (July to September 2020), around 10% of the population (1.69 million people) are facing high levels of acute food insecurity (IPC Phase 3) or higher and require urgent action to reduce food gaps, protect and restore livelihoods, and prevent acute malnutrition. Out of the 32 areas analysed, including 28 districts and four cities, 30 areas are classified in Stressed (IPC Phase 2), while two areas (Chitipa and the Island of Likoma on Lake Malawi) are classified in No Acute Food Insecurity (IPC Phase 1).

During the projected period of October 2020 to March 2021, around 15% of the population (2.62 million people) are expected to be in Crisis (IPC Phase 3) or higher. All the analysed cities (Lilongwe, Blantyre, Mzuzu and Zomba), along with the three rural districts of Nsanje, Neno and Balaka, will likely be in Crisis (IPC Phase 3). Whilst the remaining areas are likely to be in Stressed (IPC Phase 2). Those classified in Crisis (IPC Phase 3) include poor urban and rural households in the deficit-producing southern region, as well as some parts of the northern, and central districts. These areas have experienced floods and dry spells that caused a production shortfall and led to a slow livelihood recovery from previous seasons. The impacts of COVID-19 mitigation measures have also had an effect on remittance-dependent households.

Key Drivers



Flooding

In Northern Malawi, parts of Rumphi and Karonga districts experienced flooding and waterlogging that damaged crops.



Dry spells


Southern Malawi, Nsanje and Chikwawa districts – as well as parts of Phalombe, Balaka, Mwanza, Neno, Zomba, and Chiradzulu districts-- had localized dry spells and erratic rainfall, which resulted in below-average production.




COVID-19

Though restrictions were nullified in court, the country has still registered job losses due to COVID-19; mainly due to remittances into the country being reduced.

July - September 2020



October 2020 - March 2021



Key for the Map

IPC Acute Food Insecurity Phase Classification

- 1 - Minimal
- 2 - Stressed
- 3 - Crisis
- 4 - Emergency
- 5 - Famine

- Areas with inadequate evidence
- Areas not analysed
- Map Symbols
- Urban settlement classification
- IDPs/other settlements classification

Evidence Level

- * Acceptable
- ** Medium
- *** High
- Scarce evidence due to limited or no humanitarian access

CURRENT SITUATION OVERVIEW (July - September 2020)

The current period coincides with Malawi's post-harvest period when most rural households are consuming food from their own production. It is estimated that about 1.69 million people are in Crisis (IPC Phase 3) and require urgent humanitarian action. Another 5.53 million people are estimated to be in Stressed (IPC Phase 2). Interventions are required to build resilience and disaster risk reduction, so households can continue to sustain themselves.

The analysis for urban areas showed that there was a slightly higher proportion of households experiencing acute food insecurity: 12% of urban households are in Crisis (IPC Phase 3) or higher compared with 10% in rural areas. The proportion of households that are Stressed (IPC Phase 2) is relatively smaller in urban areas (25%) compared to rural areas (33%). The above-average maize prices and greater market dependence have contributed to greater vulnerability in urban areas.

Although the 2019/2020 rainfall season was above-average in most areas, a few districts in the southern region experienced flooding and dry spells later on. In the northern region, parts of Rumphi and Karonga districts experienced flooding and waterlogging that damaged crops. In the central region, Salima district experienced early cessation of rainfall in February 2020 that impacted crop maturation, while in the southern region Nsanje and Chikwawa districts – as well as parts of Phalombe, Balaka, Mwanza, Neno, Zomba, and Chiradzulu districts – experienced localized dry spells and erratic rainfall which resulted in below-average production. Additionally, some southern areas including Balaka and parts of Blantyre, Zomba, Machinga, and Neno registered relatively low production of cereals, pulses, and cotton.

Though prices were relatively higher than last year leading to harvesting, these have started to fall with the progression of harvesting, while remaining significantly above average throughout the country. A few districts also experienced Fall Army Worm infestation and other minor crop pests which affected crop production. The majority of poor and very poor households in urban areas remain stricken by high levels of poverty that negatively affects their ability to access food. During the current period, the impact of COVID-19 was minimal. The Government announced COVID-19 restriction measures were challenged in court and nullified. However, initially the Government closed open air markets before the court intervened on behalf of small businesses. Purchasing power reduced due to loss of incomes, especially for workers at major hotels where workshops and seminars were restricted by the government, in addition to a marked reduction in tourist activities. Travel from South Africa by road to Malawi resulted in a rapid spread of infections especially by returnees.

Food Availability

Maize production is estimated at 3.9 million tonnes (11.5% above last year's levels and 28% above the five-year average). All other food crops registered an increase in production, except for wheat and pigeon peas, which reduced by 15% and 24% respectively.

Additionally, due to low opening stocks at government food reserve agencies announced at the end of March 2020, the country's two main food purchasers¹ will likely continue maize purchases throughout the marketing season. These purchases will boost the National Strategic Grain Reserves (SGR) as well as ADMARC stocks for subsidized commercial sale throughout the consumption year. ADMARC announced that they would purchase maize at an atypically high price of MWK 200/kg. According to the last communication from the national budget statement and statements by ADMARC, the two institutions are expected to purchase about 240,000 MT of maize grain this season to ensure the country's food production is self-sufficient.

Food Access

Despite above-average national production, food prices are significantly above average throughout the country. Farm gate prices for maize and other food commodities are higher than last year's levels, largely due to the government's decision to set higher minimum farm gate prices. For example, the minimum farm gate price for maize is currently MWK 200/kg as opposed to MWK 150/kg or lower in previous years.

Nutrition Status

There has been an overall 1.7% decrease in SAM admissions between January to June 2019, from 22,114 to 21,744 in the same period 2020. However, case identification and referral through mass screening in several districts have been affected in 2020 by COVID-19, which has resulted in a drastic decrease in the number of children screened due to the fear of spreading infections among community workers, volunteers and caregivers.

¹ National Food Reserve Agency (NFRA) and Agricultural Development and Marketing Corporation (ADMARC)

PROJECTED SITUATION OVERVIEW (October 2020 - March 2021)

About 2.62 million people (15% of the population) are projected to be facing high levels of acute food insecurity, classified in Crisis (IPC Phase 3). They are expected to need humanitarian support between October 2020 and March 2021, which coincides with the lean season in Southern Africa. Out of the population in Crisis, approximately 2.03 million people are in the rural areas, while 586,000 are in the four urban cities. This includes households from areas who have experienced low levels of crop production, above average food prices and impacts of COVID-19 mitigation measures. COVID-19 is expected to largely affect urban poor households who will likely continue facing Crisis (IPC Phase 3) food insecurity in the projected period.

Cereal stocks at the household level will generally be average-to-above-average throughout the country due to above-average production. However, shortfalls will be experienced in some areas, especially in southern Malawi and some parts of central and northern Malawi. These households will likely experience some food gaps, particularly during the peak of the lean season in January and February 2021.

Between October 2020 and March 2021, prices are expected to increase as households deplete their stocks and face increased impact of COVID-19 mitigation measures for both urban and rural areas. Food prices are expected to remain stable between August and September before beginning to increase in October. Maize prices are expected to trend at levels 10-20% above five-year average prices throughout the projection period. This will likely affect the urban population more as they mostly rely on purchased food throughout the consumption period. With reduced income from self-employment activities, and loss of jobs and small businesses due to the impacts of COVID-19 in these urban areas, above-average maize prices are expected to reduce financial access to food.

Between October 2020 and March 2021, which represents the lean period, prices are expected to increase as households deplete their stocks and face increased impacts of COVID-19 control measures in both urban and rural areas. Food prices are expected to remain stable between August and September before increasing in October. Maize prices are expected to trend at levels 10-20% above five-year average levels throughout the projection period. This will likely affect urban populations more as they mostly rely on purchased food throughout the consumption period. Along with reduced income from self-employment activities and a loss of jobs or small businesses due to the impact of COVID-19 in these urban areas, above average maize prices are expected to reduce households' access to food. The food security situation is likely to deteriorate in urban areas during the projection period. Although no major movement restrictions are currently in place, there is a possibility of a surge in COVID-19 cases which would impact labour opportunities in urban areas. For urban households without access to personal production, this price increase would significantly impact their food access. Across the four urban areas analysed, around 20% of households are projected to be in Crisis (IPC Phase 3), while 30% are expected to be Stressed (IPC Phase 2).


Key Assumptions for the projected period

- **Labour Opportunities:** Labour opportunities and wages for poor households in urban areas will likely be significantly lower than average due to the impact of COVID-19 measures. Rural households will have increased casual labour opportunities due to forecasted above-normal 2020/2012 rainfall season.
- **Food Availability:** Maize grain supplies are expected to remain normal-to-above-normal across markets in both surplus and deficit-producing areas.
- **Informal Cross-Border Trade:** Informal cross-border inflows, especially from Zambia and Mozambique, are expected to be at normal levels despite COVID-19 related border restrictions.
- **Food prices:** Maize prices are expected to trend at levels 10%-20% above five-year average prices throughout the projection period.
- **Seasonal Forecast:** The Climate Prediction Centre (CPC) and the International Research Institute for Climate and Society (IRI) forecasts an above average 2020/2021 rainfall season which might result in flooding in southern areas of the country.
- **Labour Migration:** Labour migration to neighboring districts of Malawi, as well as to Zambia and Mozambique, is expected to be normal during the projected period, due to the lack of internal movement restrictions in Malawi, in addition to the porous informal border crossing points used by Malawians seeking work in Zambia and Mozambique.
- **Impact of COVID-19:** Agricultural labour opportunities and output will likely be below normal at the national level due to the impact of COVID-19 control measures.
- **Remittances:** Many Malawians receive remittances from relatives working in other countries, particularly South Africa. Remittances are expected to increase as restrictions in other countries are lifted.




CURRENT AND PROJECTED SITUATION MAPS

Current Situation: July – September 2020




Projected Situation: October 2020 – March 2021




Key for the Map

IPC Acute Food Insecurity Phase Classification

(mapped Phase represents highest severity affecting at least 20% of the population)



Evidence Level



CURRENT AND PROJECTED SITUATION POPULATION TABLES

Population table for the current period: July – September 2020

District	Total population analysed	Phase 1		Phase 2		Phase 3		Phase 4		Phase 5		Area Phase	Phase 3+	
		#people	%	#people	%	#people	%	#people	%	#people	%		#people	%
Balaka	389,024	175,061	45	155,610	40	58,354	15	0	0	0	0	2	58,354	15
Blantyre	451,220	225,610	50	180,488	40	45,122	10	0	0	0	0	2	45,122	10
Blantyre city	1072,684	643,610	60	268,171	25	160,903	15	0	0	0	0	2	160,903	15
Chikhwawa	551,538	303,346	55	193,038	35	55,154	10	0	0	0	0	2	55,154	10
Chiradzulu	353,914	194,653	55	123,870	35	35,391	10	0	0	0	0	2	35,391	10
Chitipa	217,184	184,606	85	21,718	10	10,859	5	0	0	0	0	1	10,859	5
Dedza	799,584	519,730	65	199,896	25	79,958	10	0	0	0	0	2	79,958	10
Dowa	740,891	444,535	60	222,267	30	74,089	10	0	0	0	0	2	74,089	10
Karonga	303,419	212,393	70	60,684	20	30,342	10	0	0	0	0	2	30,342	10
Kasungu	726,235	508,365	70	181,559	25	36,312	5	0	0	0	0	2	36,312	5
Likoma	14,502	12,327	85	1,450	10	725	5	0	0	0	0	1	725	5
Lilongwe	1637,583	982,550	60	491,275	30	163,758	10	0	0	0	0	2	163,758	10
Lilongwe city	1365,724	887,721	65	341,431	25	136,572	10	0	0	0	0	2	136,572	10
Machinga	710,231	355,116	50	284,092	40	71,023	10	0	0	0	0	2	71,023	10
Mangochi	1081,158	432,463	40	540,579	50	108,116	10	0	0	0	0	2	108,116	10
Mchinji	574,294	373,291	65	172,288	30	28,715	5	0	0	0	0	2	28,715	5
Mulanje	669,325	435,061	65	200,798	30	33,466	5	0	0	0	0	2	33,466	5
Mwanza	112,910	67,746	60	33,873	30	11,291	10	0	0	0	0	2	11,291	10
Mzimba	914,088	594,157	65	228,522	25	91,409	10	0	0	0	0	2	91,409	10
Mzuzu city	306,265	229,699	75	45,940	15	30,627	10	0	0	0	0	2	30,627	10
Neno	136,008	74,804	55	40,802	30	20,401	15	0	0	0	0	2	20,401	15
Nkhata bay	270,407	216,326	80	40,561	15	13,520	5	0	0	0	0	2	13,520	5
Nkhotakota	364,727	200,600	55	145,891	40	18,236	5	0	0	0	0	2	18,236	5
Nsanje	272,324	163,394	60	68,081	25	40,849	15	0	0	0	0	2	40,849	15
Ntcheu	638,367	414,939	65	191,510	30	31,918	5	0	0	0	0	2	31,918	5
Ntchisi	307,712	184,627	60	92,314	30	30,771	10	0	0	0	0	2	30,771	10
Phalombe	423,208	190,444	45	190,444	45	42,321	10	0	0	0	0	2	42,321	10
Rumphi	206,803	144,762	70	41,361	20	20,680	10	0	0	0	0	2	20,680	10
Salima	435,162	282,855	65	108,791	25	43,516	10	0	0	0	0	2	43,516	10
Thyolo	701,013	420,608	60	210,304	30	70,101	10	0	0	0	0	2	70,101	10
Zomba	746,724	298,690	40	373,362	50	74,672	10	0	0	0	0	2	74,672	10
Zomba city	184,724	83,126	45	73,890	40	27,709	15	0	0	0	0	2	27,709	15
Grand Total	17,678,952	10,457,213	59	5,524,858	31	1,696,881	10	0	0	0	0		1,696,881	10

Note: A population in Phase 3+ does not necessarily reflect the full population in need of urgent action. This is because some households may be in Phase 2 or even 1 but only because of receipt of assistance, and thus, they may be in need of continued action.



Population table for the projection period: October 2020 – March 2021

District	Total population analysed	Phase 1		Phase 2		Phase 3		Phase 4		Phase 5		Area Phase	Phase 3+	
		#people	%	#people	%	#people	%	#people	%	#people	%		#people	%
Balaka	389,024	155,610	40	155,610	40	77,805	20	0	0	0	0	3	77,805	20
Blantyre	451,220	203,049	45	180,488	40	67,683	15	0	0	0	0	2	67,683	15
Blantyre city	1,072,684	589,976	55	268,171	25	214,537	20	0	0	0	0	3	214,537	20
Chikhwawa	551,538	275,769	50	193,038	35	82,731	15	0	0	0	0	2	82,731	15
Chiradzulu	353,914	159,261	45	141,566	40	53,087	15	0	0	0	0	2	53,087	15
Chitipa	217,184	162,888	75	32,578	15	21,718	10	0	0	0	0	2	21,718	10
Dedza	799,584	439,771	55	239,875	30	119,938	15	0	0	0	0	2	119,938	15
Dowa	740,891	370,446	50	259,312	35	111,134	15	0	0	0	0	2	111,134	15
Karonga	303,419	197,222	65	60,684	20	45,513	15	0	0	0	0	2	45,513	15
Kasungu	726,235	399,429	55	217,871	30	108,935	15	0	0	0	0	2	108,935	15
Likoma	14,502	11,602	80	2,175	15	725	5	0	0	0	0	2	725	5
Lilongwe	1,637,583	818,792	50	655,033	40	163,758	10	0	0	0	0	2	163,758	10
Lilongwe city	1,365,724	682,862	50	409,717	30	273,145	20	0	0	0	0	3	273,145	20
Machinga	710,231	319,604	45	284,092	40	106,535	15	0	0	0	0	2	106,535	15
Mangochi	1,080,158	324,047	30	594,087	55	162,024	15	0	0	0	0	2	162,024	15
Mchinji	574,294	315,862	55	201,003	35	57,429	10	0	0	0	0	2	57,429	10
Mulanje	669,325	401,595	60	200,798	30	66,933	10	0	0	0	0	2	66,933	10
Mwanza	112,910	50,810	45	45,164	40	16,937	15	0	0	0	0	2	16,937	15
Mzimba	914,088	502,748	55	274,226	30	137,113	15	0	0	0	0	2	137,113	15
Mzuzu city	306,265	122,506	40	122,506	40	61,253	20	0	0	0	0	3	61,253	20
Neno	136,008	68,004	50	40,802	30	27,202	20	0	0	0	0	3	27,202	20
Nkhata bay	270,407	189,285	70	54,081	20	27,041	10	0	0	0	0	2	27,041	10
Nkhotakota	364,727	182,364	50	145,891	40	36,473	10	0	0	0	0	2	36,473	10
Nsanje	272,324	149,778	55	68,081	25	54,465	20	0	0	0	0	3	54,465	20
Ntcheu	638,367	351,102	55	223,428	35	63,837	10	0	0	0	0	2	63,837	10
Ntchisi	307,712	153,856	50	107,699	35	46,157	15	0	0	0	0	2	46,157	15
Phalombe	423,208	148,123	35	211,604	50	63,481	15	0	0	0	0	2	63,481	15
Rumphi	206,803	103,402	50	72,381	35	31,020	15	0	0	0	0	2	31,020	15
Salima	435,162	261,097	60	108,791	25	65,274	15	0	0	0	0	2	65,274	15
Thyolo	701,013	385,557	55	210,304	30	105,152	15	0	0	0	0	2	105,152	15
Zomba	746,724	261,353	35	373,362	50	112,009	15	0	0	0	0	2	112,009	15
Zomba city	184,724	83,126	45	64,653	35	36,945	20	0	0	0	0	3	36,945	20
Grand Total	17,677,952	8,840,895	50	6,219,071	35	2,617,986	15	0	0	0	0		2,617,986	15

Note: A population in Phase 3+ does not necessarily reflect the full population in need of urgent action. This is because some households may be in Phase 2 or even 1 but only because of receipt of assistance, and thus, they may be in need of continued action.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ACTION

Response Priorities

The following response priorities are proposed for the current period:

- Urgent action is required to save lives, reduce food consumption gaps and protect livelihoods for populations in Crisis (IPC Phase 3);
- Reduce food consumption gaps by improving access to food, through appropriate modalities for households in urban areas;
- Strengthen prevention measures against COVID-19;
- Promote resilience/climate smart agricultural production;
- Ensure the nutrition situation is monitored regularly;
- Promote interventions that reduce the impact of COVID-19 control measures on urban populations;
- Mount campaigns to promote dietary diversification among communities.

Situation Monitoring

The key factors to monitor will include;

- Prices for staple commodities
- Informal cross-border food trade
- The impact of COVID-19 measures on food security
- Inflation and its impact on the Malawi Kwacha currency
- Rainfall patterns
- New government policy direction
- Possible increase of COVID-19 cases
- Fall Army Worm infestation impacts on irrigated crops
- Flooding in the southern districts

PROCESS AND METHODOLOGY

MVAC TWG conducts an Annual Assessment and Analysis from May to June. This year, due to the COVID-19 pandemic, there was a huge challenge in getting the entire Technical Working Group (TWG) to participate in the surveys because of restrictions by agencies. However, the government provided the necessary conditions to enable a small team to go to the field and conduct data collection with strict observation of Ministry of Health COVID-19 guidelines. The main surveys undertaken were: Baseline Survey for Likoma Island, HEA data collection, Rural Household food security survey and Urban food security survey. Other complementing surveys were done by FAO and WFP.

The TWG then carried out an analysis of the data collected from the surveys to prepare the indicators for the IPC analysis. Overall data analysis was done using the IPC protocols based on the Technical Manual version 3.0. The IPC AFI analysis workshop was a hybrid, including both virtual and face-to-face analysis.

Analysts were split into four regions: North, Central, East and South with each district being independently analysed, but compared with the neighbouring districts in the same region.

Upon completion of entries into the IPC Information Support System (ISS), the technical consensus process involved: each region presenting their outcomes which were reviewed by the facilitators, vetting of the outcome and the plenary discussion before the team concluded the analysis.

The draft report was developed by the MVAC secretariat and forwarded to the Government for approval. However, to have buy-in, a validation process is conducted with the districts to discuss the outcome of the analysis before the Humanitarian Response Committee begins to deliberate on the development of the Lean Season Integrated Response Programme.

Sources

Data sources: Household Food Security Survey, Agricultural Crop Production Estimates (APES), Market Survey, Price Projections (FEWSNET), Price data Ministry of Agriculture (Agricultural Market Information System- AMIS), mVAM data from WFP. National Statistics Office (population), District Food Security reports.

Limitations of the analysis

This year's process faced several challenges: the funding for activities was minimal and there were numerous delays in confirming the availability of funds. Secondly, COVID-19 was a challenge in undertaking full scale assessment in the field due to the imposed restrictions, as well as the suspension of in-person meetings/workshops. As a result, several agencies, save for government participants, could not participate in person during the analysis, which posed a challenge for proper discussions during consensus-building, coupled with internet connectivity challenges for those joining virtually. The analysis met the highest Evidence Level (***).

MVAC plans to carry out the annual update in November 2020 to ascertain the food and nutrition security situation and review the assumptions that have been factored in the analysis. There will also be an opportunity to review the impact of COVID-19 control measures on a variety of issues, including: food security at the household level, market functionality, transport and trade across districts/regions, schools resuming, household-level food requirements, price of staple items, availability of labour opportunities, etc.

What is the IPC and IPC Acute Food Insecurity?

The IPC is a set of tools and procedures to classify the severity and characteristics of acute food and nutrition crises as well as chronic food insecurity based on international standards. The IPC consists of four mutually reinforcing functions, each with a set of specific protocols (tools and procedures). The core IPC parameters include consensus building, convergence of evidence, accountability, transparency and comparability. The IPC analysis aims at informing emergency response as well as medium and long-term food security policy and programming.

For the IPC, Acute Food Insecurity is defined as any manifestation of food insecurity found in a specified area at a specific point in time of a severity that threatens lives or livelihoods, or both, regardless of the causes, context or duration. It is highly susceptible to change and can occur and manifest in a population within a short amount of time, as a result of sudden changes or shocks that negatively impact on the determinants of food insecurity.

Contact for further Information

GERESOMO, VICTORIA

MVAC CHAIR
vcgeresomo@yahoo.com

IPC Global Support Unit
www.ipcinfo.org

This analysis has been conducted under the patronage of the MVAC (e.g. Ministry of Agriculture). It has benefited from the technical and financial support of FAO/GSU for the analysis and USAID for data collection.

Classification of food insecurity and malnutrition was conducted using the IPC protocols, which are developed and implemented worldwide by the IPC Global Partnership - Action Against Hunger, CARE, CILSS, EC-JRC, FAO, FEWSNET, Global Food Security Cluster, Global Nutrition Cluster, IGAD, Oxfam, PROGRESAN-SICA, SADC, Save the Children, UNICEF and WFP.

IPC Analysis Partners:



Food and Agriculture
Organization of the
United Nations



World Food
Programme